Table 5.2 Suggested route types based on structural role

| Route type | | Structural description | Typical street network role |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Stem | | Intermediate junctions are three-way | Varied, including conventional distributory networks. (Also boundary routes to griddy networks.) |
| Spine | | Intermediate junctions are four-way | Traditional connective grid networks. A spine is often the main road, locally or otherwise |
| Corridor | | Both ends are pendant (usually both are externally connecting) | Typically the datum or main through route of a network |
| Cantilever | <u> </u> | One end is a three-way junction, the other is free | Typical of suburban 'cul-de-sac' networks |
| Collector | 1 | All junctions are three-way | Typical of networks of suburban distributors connected by priority junctions |
| Connector | | All junctions are four-way | Typical of traditional grid networks |
| Cross-connector | | A short, deep connecting street which, due to its depth and relative discontinuity, would have a high value of relative connectivity | Found in interior of grid networks |

of Chapter 3, this is classification by *relation*. The particular sense of route type addressed here refers to the 'structural role' played by a route in the network – for example, the distinction of 'spine route' or a 'side road' – or a 'connector street'.

It is possible to suggest a series of types of route that might be recognised according to their structural role. Table 5.2 explains some possible definitions for different kinds of route defined according to their structural role. The question arises as to how these might be expressed quantitatively, or related to each other systematically.

The final column in Table 5.1 showed that in the Bayswater network there are 20 distinct types of route identifiable or, rather, 20 unique combinations of continuity, connectivity and depth. At present these are simply