Table 35.1 (Continued)

VIRBAN DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS Visual 24) External design and appearance 25) Visual relationship with context 26) Variety of buildings	THEALE 3		BRINDLEYPLACE 3		GREAT NOTLEY 3
Visual 24) External design and appearance 25) Visual relationship with context 26) Variety of buildings	3 3 2 3	3	3		
24) External design and appearance25) Visual relationship with context26) Variety of buildings	3 2 3	3		2	3
appearance 25) Visual relationship with context 26) Variety of buildings	3 2 3	3		2	3
26) Variety of buildings	2				-
	3	3	4	2	3
		,	4	2	3
27) Landscape design	2	3	4	1	3
28) Visual order and coherence		3	3	2	4
29) Formality or informality	3	3	3	1	3
30) Definition of space	3	3	4	2	3
31) Visual grain	2	3	3	1	2
32) Human scale	2	3	3	2	3
33) Density of devt.	2	3	3	2	2
34) Defined entrances	2	2	3	1	3
 Design of street furniture, art etc. 	2	3	3	1	3
otal score (maximum = 48)	30	35	40	19	35
Aean average performance	2.5	2.9	3.3	1.6	2.9
Modal average)	(2/3)	(3)	(3)	(2)	(3)
he urban experience					
36) Area image	3	3	3	2	3
37) Mix of uses	0	3	3	0	2
38) Assemblage of buildings, spaces and uses	1	3	4	1	3
39) Pedestrian flows	1	4	3	1	2
40) Evening activity	0	2	4	0	1
41) Opportunities for entertainment	0	2	4	0	1
42) Opportunities for meeting friends	1	3	4	0	1
43) Opportunities for people- watching	1	3	4	1	1
44) Range of sensory experience	2	2	3	1	3
45) Sense of arrival	2	3	4	2	3
46) Legibility	2	3	3	2	3
47) The freedom of experience	2	2	3	3	3
48) Scope for personalization	1	1	2	4	2
49) The sense of community	1	2	3	2	3
50) The sense of history or place	1	2	3	1	3
otal score (maximum = 60)	18	38	50	20	34
Mean average performance	1.2	2.5	3.3	1.3	2.3
Modal average)	(1)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(3)
Overall mean average performance of the four groups of considerations	2.2	2.7	3.2	1.7	2.7
overall modal average—all onsiderations)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(2)	(3)

TEAM LinG