

- special economic zones, 286
- special interest groups: role and intensity of, 281
- special-interest urban design, 68
- speculative procedures (urbanistic project), 134
- spheres of urbanistic action, 114–29; architecture of city, 117–18; art of “place-making,” 120–23; bridge connecting planning and architecture, 115–16; community advocacy (or doing no harm), xviii5, 127–29; form-based category of public policy, 116–17; infrastructure of city, 124–25; landscape urbanism, 125–26; restorative urbanism, 118–20; smart growth, 123; visionary urbanism, 126–27
- Spirn, Anne Whiston, 104, 109n4, 203
- sprawl, 157; controlling, 123; need for new public conversation about suburban condition, 325; suburban, 165; as target for New Urbanism, 180; urbanization of suburbia and, 265
- Sprawl* (Bruegmann), lack of consensus in reception of, 233–34
- Spreiregen, Paul D., xviii2
- stakeholders: working with myriad of, xii. *See also* publics
- Stalin, Josef, 106
- Stalker architects’ group in Italy, 190, 194
- Staten Island: Fresh Kills landfill reuse project, 126, 216, 298–99, 315
- states: post-1956 transformation of function and nature of, 271
- Stein, Clarence, 196
- Stern, Robert A. M., 213, 225n2
- Sternberg, Ernest, xviii9
- stewardship of evolving places, 206
- St. Louis, Missouri, 277, 278
- Stockholm, Sweden: live-work Hammarby Sjöstad area, 202; master plan, 52; Vällingby, 49, 50
- Stockholm Town Planning Office, 49, 52
- Stonorov, Gruen, and Yamasaki, 11
- stoop and sidewalk: Jacobs on importance of, 10
- storefront activities, 9
- stores: Jacobs on function of, 9–10. *See also* retail
- strip development, 165
- studio methods, 72, 84–85
- Stuyvesant Town, 8; belt of stores around, 9–10
- subsidy, public, 162
- suburbs/suburbanization, 5, 6, 164–66; Crawford on need for new public conversation about suburban condition, 325; critique of one-dimensionality of suburban sprawl, 165; diminished appeal of, in 1960s and 1970s, 164–65; growth management, 123; intermixture of central city and, 264; landscape suburbanism, 325; Los Angeles as suburbanized city, 262; Marot’s theory of suburbanism, 234–35; mass regional urbanization replacing mass, 263; neighborhood planning as antidote to, 107; neotraditional suburbs, 174; New Urbanism’s Celebration project as repatterning of, 177; Sert on dangers of, 22; space between edge of building and street, complex of functional and symbolic uses of, 150; suburbanization of central