social characteristics of the site. It is an instrument that can contribute to improving the monitoring process of the WHL of UNESCO, thus bringing more transparency to the process, giving a common structure to the evaluation of performance and diminishing bias, all of which need improvement in the instrument used today.

## References

- Avrami, E., Mason, R. & De La Torre, M. eds. 2002. Values and Heritage Conservation. Research Report. Los Angeles, The Getty Conservation Institute.
- Cameron, C., Castellanos, C., Demas, M., Descamps, F. & Levin, F. 2001. Building Consensus, Creating a Vision: A Discussion about Site Management Conservation. *The Getty Conservation Institute Newsletter*, 16(3): 13–19.
- Clavir, M. 2002. Preserving what is valued: museums, conservation and First Nations. Vancouver, UBC Press.
- Clavir, M. 1994. Preserving Conceptual Integrity: Ethics and the Theory in Preventive Conservation. In R. Ashok, & P. Smith, eds. *Preventive conservation: practice, theory and research: preprints of the contributions to the Ottawa Congress*, 12–16 September 1994, pp. 53–57. London, The International Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works.
- Clavir, M. 1994a. The Conceptual Integrity of Conservation in Museums. *Muse* 12(3): 30–34.
- Frondizi, R. 1971. What is value? An introduction to axiology. La Salle, Open Court.
- **ICOMOS.** 1999. *Burra Charter*. Burwood, Australia. (also available at http://australia.icomos.org/publications/charters/).
- Jamal, T. & Hill, S. 2004. Developing a Framework for Indicators of Authenticity: The Place and Space of Cultural and Heritage Tourism. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 9(4): 353–371.
- Jokilehto, J. 2006. Considerations on Authenticity and Integrity in World Heritage context. *City & Time* 2(1): 1–16. (also available at http://www.ceci-br.org/novo/revista/docs2006/CT-2006-44.pdf).
- Leigh, D. et al. 1994. What are the Responsibilities for Cultural Heritage and Where do They Lie? In W. Krumbein, P. Brimblecombe, D. Cosgrove, & S. Staniforth, eds. *Durability and Change: The Science, Responsibility and Cost of Sustaining Cultural Heritage*, pp. 269–286. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons.
- Lowenthal, D. 1992. Authenticity? The dogma of self-delusion. In M. Jones, ed. *Why Fakes Matter: Essays on Problems of Authenticity*, pp.184–192. London, British Museum Press.
- Michalski, S. 1994. Sharing Responsibility for Conservation Decisions. In W. Krumbein, P. Brimblecombe, D. Cosgrove, & S. Staniforth, eds. *Durability and Change: The Science, Responsibility and Cost of Sustaining Cultural Heritage*, pp. 241–258. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons.
- Viñas, S. M. 2005. Contemporary Theory of Conservation. Oxford, Elsevier, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Serageldin, I., Shluger, E. & Martin-Brown, J. 2001. Historic cities and sacred sites: cultural roots for urban futures. Washington, DC, World Bank.