Engineering Economics

Benefit Cost Analysis

Techniques for Cash Flow Analysis

- Present Worth Analysis
- Annual Cash Flow Analysis
- Rate of Return Analysis
- Incremental Analysis
- Other Techniques:
 - Future Worth Analysis
 - Benefit-Cost Ratio Analysis
 - Payback Period Analysis

Benefit-Cost Analysis

- The Benefit-cost analysis is commonly used to evaluate public (government) projects.
- Benefits of a nonmonetary nature need to be quantified in dollar terms as much as possible and factored into the analysis.
- A broad range of project users distinct from the sponsor should be considered—benefits and costs to all these users can (and should) be taken into account.

Benefit-Cost Ratio Criterion

The Net B/C ratio expresses the net benefit expected per dollar invested.

> Benefit - Cost Ratio (B/C) $= \frac{\text{Equivalent Net Benefits}}{\text{Equivalent Net Costs}}$ $= \frac{\text{PW of Benefits}}{\text{PW of Costs}} = \frac{\text{EUAB}}{\text{EUAC}}$

Decision Rule: If B/C ratio > 1, the project can be justified/acceptable.

Example 1: Benefit-Cost Ratio Computation

Compute Benefit-Cost Ratio for given CFD:



- Solution:
- Benefit, B = \$20 (P/F,10%,2) + \$30 (P/F,10%,3) + \$30 (P/F,10%,4) + \$20 (P/F,10%,5) = \$71.98

Cost, C = \$10 + \$10 (P/F,10%,1) + \$5 (P/A,10%,4) (P/F,10%,1) = \$25

Benefit-cost ratio=B/C = 72/25 = 2.88 => Accept the project

Example 2: B/C Ratio Analysis

 Each of the five mutually exclusive alternatives presented below will last for 20 years and has no salvage value. MARR = 6%.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
PWC	\$4,000	\$2,000	\$6,000	\$1,000	<mark>\$9,000</mark>	\$10,000
PWB	\$7,330	\$4,700	\$8,730	\$1,340	\$9,000	\$9,500
B/C	1.83	2.35	1.46	1.34	1.00	0.95
NPV	\$3,330	\$2,700	\$1,730	\$340	0	-\$500

- The steps are the same as in incremental ROR, except that the criterion is now B/C, and the cutoff is 1 instead of the MARR:
- I. Be sure you identify all alternatives.
- 2. (Optional) Compute the B/C ratio for each alternative. Discard any with a B/C < I. (We can discard F).
- 3.Arrange the remaining alternatives in ascending order of investment.

Example 2: Cost Ratio Analysis

	D	В	Α	С	E	F
Cost	\$1000	\$2000	\$4000	\$6000	\$9000	\$10000
PWB	\$1340	\$4700	\$7330	\$8730	\$9000	\$9500
B/C	1.34	2.35	1.83	1.46	1.00	0.95
NPV	340	2700	3330	1730	0 4	-500

4. Comparing $\Delta B/\Delta C$ with 1 for consecutive alternatives select the best alternative.

	B-D	A-B	C - A	E-A
Incremental Cost	\$1000	\$2000	\$2000	\$5000
Incremental Benefit	\$3360	\$2630	\$1400	\$1670
Incr.B/Incr. C	3.36	1.32	0.76	0.33

• Thus, for the example, the increments B-D and A-B are attractive. We prefer B to D, and we prefer A to B. Increment C-A is not attractive, as $\Delta B/\Delta C = 0.76 < 1$. Comparing A to E, again A is best. Finally A is the best project.

Example 2: Cost Ratio Analysis



Example 3: Cost Ratio Analysis

- You are deciding between three alternatives and you need to pick the best one. The lifetimes of all machines is 20 years. Assuming a 5% interest rate, which machine should you select?
- Use B/C ratio to make your decision.

	Alternative A	Alternative B	Alternative C
Benefits			
Taxes	\$7,000 per year	\$3,000 per year	\$8,000 per year
Salvage Value	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$25,000
Costs			
First Cost	\$45,000 (present)	\$25,000 (present)	\$65,000 (present)
Operating Expenses	\$1,500 per year	\$2,500 per year	\$1000 per year
Maintenance Costs	\$2,000 per year	\$3,000 per year	\$1500 per year
Lifetime	20 years	20 years	20 years

Example 3: Benefit-Cost Ratio Analysis

Solution:

- Analysis of Alternative A
- B/C ratio for Alt A = Benefits/Cost
- = [7,000 (P/A, 5%, 20) + 30,000 (P/F, 5%, 20)] / [45,000 + (1,500+2000) (P/A, 5%, 20)]
- = 98,542/88,617 = 1.1199 > 1 (Good)
- Analysis of Alternative B
- B/C ratio for Alt B = Benefits/Cost
- = [3000 (P/A, 5%, 20) + 15,000 (P/F, 5%, 20)] / [25,000 + (2,500+3000) (P/A, 5%, 20)]
- = 43,040 / 93,542 = 0.4601 < 1 (Bad, Not good)</p>
- If we do the same for Alternative C we get a B/C ratio of 1.135, which is > 1 (Good)

Example 3: Cost Ratio Analysis

	Alternative A	Alternative B	Alternative C	(C - A)
Benefits				
Taxes	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$8,000	\$1,000
Salvage Value	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$25,000	(\$5,000)
Costs				
First Cost	\$45,000	\$25,000	\$65,000	\$20,000
Operating Expenses	\$1,500	\$2,500	\$1,000	(\$500)
Maintenance Costs	\$2,000	\$3,000	\$1,500	(\$500)
		Λ		
Lifetime	20 years	20 years	20 years	
Benefits	\$98,542.4000	\$43,040.1000	\$109,120.1000	\$10,577.7000
Costs	\$88,617.70	\$93,542.10	\$96,155.50	\$7,537.80
B/C Ratio	1.111994556	0.46011475	1.134829521	1.403287431
Decision	Good, Compare	Not Good, Eliminate	Good, Compare	Good, prefer C

Example 3: Cost Ratio Analysis

- Note that the benefits and costs are obtained from the previous analysis (we made the analysis in terms of Present Worth).
- For example, for Alternative A:
- Benefits = 7,000 (P/A, 5%, 20) + 30,000 (P/F, 5%, 20) = \$98,542
- Costs = 45,000 + (1,500+2000) (P/A, 5%, 20) = \$88,617
- In this case, since Incremental B/C of (C-A) = 1.40 we prefer Alternative C over Alternative A.
- Since we have no more alternatives we decide that Alternative C is the best one.

Other Analysis Techniques

- Future worth analysis is equivalent to present worth analysis. There are many situations where we want to know what a future situation will be, if we take some particular course of action now. This is called future worth analysis.
- Payback period is an approximate analysis method. For example, if a \$1000 investment today generates \$500 annually in savings, we say its payback period is 1000/500 = 2 years.
- Sensitivity analysis identifies how sensitive economic conclusions are to the values of the data, and allows making decisions for an entire range of the data.
- Breakeven analysis is closely related to sensitivity analysis, and determines conditions when two alternatives are equivalent (as well as when each is better than the other). It can be viewed as a type of sensitivity analysis.

- A project will cost \$50,000. The benefits at the end of the first year are estimated to be \$10,000, increasing at a 10% uniform rate in subsequent years. Using an 8-year analysis period and a 10% interest rate, compute the benefit-cost ratio.
- Geometric gradient at a 10% uniform rate.
- ► A₁ = \$10,000
- ▶ i = 10%
- ▶ g = 10%
- n = 8 yrs
- Where i = g: $P = A_1 n (I + i)^{-1}$



B/C = PW of Benefits/PW of Cost = [\$10,000 (8) (1 + 0.10)⁻¹]/\$50,000
B/C = 1.45

Each of the three alternatives shown has a 5-year useful life. If the MARR is 10%, which alternative should be selected? Solve the problem by benefit-cost ratio analysis.

	A	B	C
Cost	\$600.0	\$500.0	\$200.0
Uniform annual benefit	158.3	138.7	58.3

- $B/C_{OFA} = $158.3/[$600 (A/P, 10\%, 5)] = 1.00$
- ► B/C_{OFB} = \$138.7/[\$500 (A/P, 10%, 5)] = 1.05
- ► B/C_{OFC} = \$58.3/[\$200 (A/P, 10%, 5)] = 1.11
- All alternatives have a B/C ratio > 1.00. Proceed with incremental analysis.

	B- C	A- B
Cost	\$300	\$100
Uniform Annual Benefit	\$80.4	\$19.6

Incremental Analysis

- $B/C_{OF B-C} = $80.4/[$300 (A/P, 10\%, 5)] = 1.02$ Desirable increment. Reject C.
- $B/C_{OFA-B} = $19.6/[$100 (A/P, 10\%, 5)] = 0.74$ Undesirable increment. Reject A.

Conclusion: Select B.

 Consider three alternatives, each with a 10-year useful life. If the MARR is 10%, which alternative should be selected? Solve the problem by benefit-cost ratio analysis.

	A	В	С
Cost	\$800	\$300	\$150
Uniform annual benefit	142	60	33.5

B/C ratio

 $B/C_A = (\$142 (P/A, 10\%, 10))/\$800 = 1.09$ $B/C_B = (\$60 (P/A, 10\%, 10))/\$300 = 1.23$ $B/C_C = (\$33.5 (P/A, 10\%, 10))/\$150 = 1.37$

- Incremental Analysis
- B- C Increment
- $\Delta \text{ Cost}_{(B-C)} = \150
- ▶ △ UAB= \$26.5
- ► $\Delta B/\Delta C = ($26.5 (P/A, 10\%, 10))/$150 = 1.09$
- This is a desirable increment. Reject C.
- A- B Increment
- $\Delta \text{ Cost}_{(A-B)} = 500
- ▶ ∆ UAB =\$82
- ► $\Delta B/\Delta C = (\$82 (P/A, 10\%, 10))/\$500 = 1.01$
- This is a desirable increment. Reject B.

Conclusion: Select A.

	Using benefit-cost ratio analysis, determine which one of the three mutually exclusive alternatives should be selected.						
			A	В	С		
	First cost		\$560	\$340	\$120		
	Uniform an	nual benefit	140	100	40		
	Salvage val	ue	40	0	0		
B/C ratio							
Alternative A:	B/C	= [\$140 (P/ = [\$140 (4.3 = 1.13	′A, 10%, 355)]/(\$	6)]/[\$56 560 - \$4	60 - \$40 (F 0 (0.5645	P/F, 10%, 6)])]	
Alternative B:	B/C	= [\$100 (P/ = 1.28	A, 10%,	6)]/\$34(0		
Alternative C:	B/C	= [\$40 (P/A = 1.45	a, 10%, 6	6)]/\$120			

	B- C	A- B
∆ First Cost	\$220	\$220
Δ Uniform Annual Benefit	\$60	\$40
Δ Salvage Value	\$ 0	\$40
Compute $\Delta B/\Delta C$ value	1.19	0.88

Incremental Analysis

- B- C $\Delta B/\Delta C = [\$60 (P/A, 10\%, 6)]/\$220 = 1.19$ B- C is a desirable increment.
- A- B $\Delta B/\Delta C = [$40 (P/A, 10\%, 6)/[$220 $40 (P/F, 10\%, 6)] = 0.88$ A- B is an undesirable increment.

Conclusion: Choose B.

NPW Solution

- NPW_A = \$140 (P/A, 10%, 6) + \$40 (P/F, 10%, 6) \$560 = \$140 (4.355) + \$40 (0.5645) - \$560 = +\$72.28
- ► NPW_B = \$100 (P/A, 10%, 6) \$340
- ► = +\$95.50
- ► NPW_C = \$40 (P/A, 10%, 6) \$120
- ► = +\$54.20

Select B

Rate of Return Solution

B- C	A- B
\$220	\$220
\$60	\$40
\$0	\$40
16.2%	6.6%
> 10% Accept B. Reject C.	< 10% Reject A.
	B- C \$220 \$60 \$0 16.2% > 10% Accept B. Reject C.

Select B.