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#### Abstract

Homological invariants or homological functors of groups have their roots in algebraic $K$-theory and homotopy theory. They were first used in algebraic topology, but are common in many areas of mathematics. The homological invariants are also used in group cohomology to classify abelian group extensions. Researches on homological invariants have grown intensively over the years. In this paper, recent updates of the homological invariants of Bieberbach groups with certain point groups will be presented. Furthermore, some of the homological invariants of a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight are computed.
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## 1. Introduction

Homological invariants are useful tools in mathematical areas such as in algebraic number theory, block theory of group algebras and classification of finite simple groups. A Bieberbach group is a torsion free crystallographic group in which all elements are of infinite orders except its identity. It is an extension of a free abelian group, $L$ of finite rank by a finite group, $P$. In other words, there is a short exact sequence $L \xrightarrow{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\sigma} P$ such that $G / \phi(L) \cong P$ where $\phi$ is a monomorphism and $\sigma$ is an epimorphism. Furthermore, the image of $\phi$ is the kernel of $\sigma$.

Being one of the torsion free crystallographic groups, Bieberbach group has the symmetry structure. It widely covers the enumeration of the symmetry structure which can be formed in a crystal and as for that a crystal has a close relation to group theory and geometry. One visualizes a crystal as an interlocking system of atoms that can move indefinitely in any way filling up all space and at the same time developing the regular pattern. Our mathematical approach is to replace the regular pattern by the group $G$ with rigid motions on Euclidean space that preserve it. When one contemplate a crystal as a regular pattern, all solid motions which transform this pattern into itself are symmetry transform in which it can be given a group structure such as homological invariants. Each subgroup of orthogonal group is called a point group, because it leaves the origin invariant. Thus, any point group which transforms a lattice into itself is called a crystallographic point group [8].

A technique developed by Blyth and Morse [4] which is $\nu(G)$ will be used where

$$
\nu(G)=\left\langle G, G^{\varphi} \mid R, R^{\varphi},\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]^{x}=\left[g^{x},\left(h^{x}\right)^{\varphi}\right]=\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]^{x^{\varphi}}, \forall x, g, h \in G\right\rangle
$$

where $g^{h}=h^{-1} g h$ and $[g, h]=g^{-1} g^{h}$. This technique is applied in which the polycyclic presentations were constructed by using their matrix representation and then were tested to be satisfying its consistency relations. It is necessary to show the consistency of the presentation before its homological invariants can be determined.

Researches on the homological invariants of various groups have gained significant interest over the years. Algebraic $K$-theory and homotopy theory were the origin of these studies while extending the ideas of Whitehead [22]. It was started by the study of the nonabelian tensor square which is one of the homological invariants by Brown and Loday [5]. Then, Brown et al. [6] introduced the homological invariants of a group $G$ in Figure 1. (see Figure 1).

In this paper, the homological invariants which are considered are the nonabelian tensor squares $(G \otimes G)$, the kernel of the nonabelian tensor square $(J(G)), \nabla(G)$, the exterior square $(G \wedge G)$ and the Schur multiplier $(M(G))$. Furthermore, the computation of the central subgroup of a Bieberbach group


Figure 1. The commutative diagram of the homological invariants.
of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight will be shown. All definitions and propositions that are used throughout this research are stated in the following.

Definition 1.1. [1] The nonabelian tensor square of a group $G$, denoted as $G \otimes G$, is generated by the symbols $g \otimes h$ for all $g, h \in G$ subject to the relations

$$
g h \otimes k=\left(g^{h} \otimes k^{h}\right)(h \otimes k) \text { and } g \otimes h k=(g \otimes k)\left(g^{k} \otimes h^{k}\right)
$$

for all $g, h, k \in G$, where $g^{h}=h^{-1} g h$.

The group $G$ acts naturally on the nonabelian tensor squares by $(g \otimes k)^{h}=$ $\left(g^{h} \otimes k^{h}\right)$ and there exists a homomorphism $\kappa: G \otimes G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ defined by $\kappa(g \otimes h)=$ $[g, h]$ where $[g, h]=g^{-1} h^{-1} g h$. Another homological invariant, known as $J(G)$, which is the kernel of the nonabelian tensor square is defined as in the following:

Definition 1.2. [2, 15] Let $G$ be a group. Then, $J(G)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism of the nonabelian tensor square with $\kappa: G \otimes G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and kernel( $\kappa$ ) $=\{x \in G \mid \operatorname{kernel}(\kappa)=1\}$.

Next, a central subgroup of $G \otimes G$, known as $\nabla(G)$, is defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. [2] Let $G$ be a group. Then, $\nabla(G)$ is the subgroup of $J(G)$ generated by the elements $x \otimes x$ for all $x \in G$.

Besides, another three homological invariants are stated in the following definitions.

Definition 1.4. [2] The exterior square of a group $G$, denoted as $G \wedge G$, is defined to be

$$
G \wedge G=(G \otimes G) / \nabla(G)
$$

For $g$ and $h$ in $G$, the coset $(g \otimes h) \nabla(G)$ is denoted by $g \wedge h$.

Definition 1.5. [2] The Schur multiplier of a group $G, M(G)$, is defined to be

$$
M(G)=J(G) / \nabla(G)
$$

Definition 1.6. [7] (Polycyclic Presentation) Let $F_{n}$ be a free group on generators $g_{i}, \ldots, g_{n}$ and $R$ be a set of relations of group $F_{n}$. The relations of a polycyclic presentation $F_{n / R}$ have the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i}^{e_{i}} & =g_{i+1}^{x_{i, i+1}} \ldots g_{n}^{x_{i, n}} & & \text { for } i \in I, \\
g_{j}^{-1} g_{i} g_{j} & =g_{j+1}^{y_{i, j, j+1}} \ldots g_{n}^{y_{i, j, n}} & & \text { for } j<i, \\
g_{j} g_{i} g_{j}^{-1} & =g_{j+1}^{z_{i, j, j+1}} \ldots g_{n}^{z_{i, j, n}} & & \text { for } j<i \text { and } j \notin I
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots n\}$, certain exponents $e_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in I$ and $x_{i, j}, y_{i, j, k}, z_{i, j, k} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i, j$ and $k$.

Blyth and Morse [4] proved that if $G$ is polycyclic, then $G \otimes G$ is polycyclic. Hence, $G \otimes G$ has a consistent polycyclic presentation. The following definition is needed in order to check the polycyclic presentation of a group that has been constructed using the above definition satisfies all the consistency relations in order to compute its homological invariants later.

Definition 1.7. [7] (Consistent Polycyclic Presentation) Let $G$ be a group generated by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ and the consistency relations in $G$ can be evaluated in the polycyclic presentation of $G$ using the collection from the left as in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}\left(g_{j} g_{i}\right) & =\left(g_{k} g_{j}\right) g_{i} & & \text { for } k>j>i, \\
\left(g_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) g_{i} & =g_{j}^{e_{j}-1}\left(g_{j} g_{i}\right) & & \text { for } j>i, j \in I, \\
g_{j}\left(g_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) & =\left(g_{j} g_{i}\right) g_{i}^{e_{i}-1} & & \text { for } j>i, i \in I, \\
\left(g_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) g_{i} & =g_{i}\left(g_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) & & \text { for } i \in I, \\
g_{j} & =\left(g_{j} g_{i}^{-1}\right) g_{i} & & \text { for } j>i, i \notin I
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}, e^{i} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $G$ is said to be given by a consistent polycyclic presentation.

Theorem 1.8. [16] Let $G$ be a group. Then, the map $\sigma: G \otimes G \rightarrow\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right] \triangleleft \nu(G)$ defined by $\sigma(g \otimes h)=\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]$ for all $g, h$ in $G$ is an isomorphism.

The theorem above indicates that $G \otimes G$ is isomorphic to $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]$. Hence, in this paper, $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]$ is computed in order to find the nonabelian tensor square of a group.

To find the exterior square of a group, $G \wedge G$, the next theorem that indicates $G \wedge G$ is isomorphic to $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$ is stated. Before that, the following definition is needed.

Definition 1.9. [4] Let $G$ be any group. Then $\tau(G)$ is defined to be the quotient group $\nu(G) / \sigma(\nabla(G))$, where $\sigma: G \otimes G \rightarrow\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]$ is as defined in Theorem 1.8.

Theorem 1.10. [4] Let $G$ be any group. Then, the map

$$
\hat{\sigma}: G \wedge G \rightarrow\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)} \triangleleft \tau(G)
$$

defined by $\hat{\sigma}(g \wedge h)=\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$ is an isomorphism.

Hence, in this research, $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$ is computed in order to find the exterior square of a group. Since $\tau(G)$ is a subgroup of $\nu(G),\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$ coincides with [ $g, h^{\varphi}$ ]. Therefore, in this paper, for simplification, $\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]$ is used instead of $\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$.

Theorem 1.11. [4] Let $G$ be a polycyclic group with a polycyclic generating sequence $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$. Then $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]$, a subgroup of $\nu(G)$, is given by

$$
\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]=\left\langle\left[g_{i}, g_{i}^{\varphi}\right],\left[g_{i}^{\delta},\left(g_{j}^{\varphi}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right],\left[g_{i}, g_{j}^{\varphi}\right]\left[g_{j}, g_{i}^{\varphi}\right]\right\rangle
$$

and $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}$, a subgroup of $\tau(G)$, is given by

$$
\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]_{\tau(G)}=\left\langle\left[g_{i}^{\delta},\left(g_{j}^{\varphi}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right],\left[g_{j}^{\varepsilon},\left(g_{i}^{\varphi}\right)^{\delta}\right]\right\rangle
$$

for $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, where

$$
\varepsilon=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & \left|\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right|<\infty \\
\pm 1 & \text { if } & \left|\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right|=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\delta=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & \left|\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right|<\infty \\
\pm 1 & \text { if } & \left|\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right|=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1.12. [3] Let $G$ be a group such that $G^{a b}$ is finitely generated. Assume that $G^{a b}$ is the direct product of the cyclic groups $\left\langle x_{i} G^{\prime}\right\rangle$, for $i=1, \ldots$, s and set $E(G)$ to be $\left\langle\left[x_{i}, x_{j}^{\varphi}\right] \mid i<j\right\rangle\left[G, G^{\prime \varphi}\right]$. Then the following hold:
(i) $\nabla(G)$ is generated by the elements of the set $\left\{\left[x_{i}, x_{i}^{\varphi}\right],\left[x_{i}, x_{j}^{\varphi}\right]\left[x_{j}, x_{i}^{\varphi}\right] \mid\right.$ $1 \leq i<j \leq s\}$
(ii) $\left[G, G^{\varphi}\right]=\nabla(G) E(G)$.

The next theorem is used to identify the order of the elements.

Theorem 1.13. [9] Let $G$ and $H$ be groups and let $g \in G$. Suppose $\phi$ is a homomorphism from $G$ onto $H$. If $\phi(g)$ has finite order then $|\phi(g)|$ divides $|g|$. Otherwise the order of $\phi(g)$ equals the order of $g$.

In the next theorem, some properties of the ordinary tensor product are presented.

Theorem 1.14. [23] Let $A, B$ and $C$ be abelian groups and $C_{0}$ the infinite cyclic group. Consider the ordinary tensor product of two abelian groups. Then
(i) $C_{0} \otimes A \cong A$,
(ii) $C_{0} \otimes C_{0} \cong C_{0}$,
(iii) $C_{n} \otimes C_{m} \cong C_{g c d(n, m)}$, for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
(iv) $A \otimes(B \times C)=(A \otimes B) \times(A \otimes C)$.

Theorem 1.15. [6] Let $G$ and $H$ be groups such that there is an epimorphism $\eta: G \rightarrow H$. Then there exists an epimorphism $\alpha: G \otimes G \rightarrow H \otimes H$ defined by $\alpha(g \otimes h)=\eta(g) \otimes \eta(h)$.

## 2. Recent Advancements on Homological Invariants of Bieberbach Groups

This section provides some results on the homological invariants of Bieberbach groups with certain point groups, namely $C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{5}, D_{4}$ and $S_{3}$. The presentation of the groups can be found in Crystallographic Algorithms and Table
(CARAT) package [24]. The following are results of some homological invariants for two Bieberbach groups with point group $C_{2}$ found by Masri [9] in which their nonabelian tensor squares are abelian and the results can be extended to find the nonabelian tensor squares for this group of the same family up to dimension $n$. The research was then extended by Mat Hassim [10].

Theorem 2.1. $[9,10]$ Let $B_{1}(n)$ and $B_{2}(n)$ be the first and second Bieberbach groups of dimension $n$ with cyclic point group of order two. Then their polycyclic presentations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}(n)=\left\langle a, l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots l_{n} \mid a^{2}=l_{2},{ }^{a} l_{1}=l_{1}^{-1},{ }^{a} l_{j}=l_{j},{ }^{l_{i}} l_{j}=l_{j}\right\rangle \\
& B_{2}(n)=\left\langle a, l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots l_{n} \mid a^{2}=l_{3},{ }^{a} l_{1}=l_{2},{ }^{a} l_{3}=l_{3},{ }^{a} l_{k}=l_{k},{ }^{l_{i}} l_{j}=l_{j}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ and $k=4,5, \ldots n$ respectively. Then, Table 1 summarized the results for their homological invariants.

Table 1: The Homological Invariants of two families of Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $C_{2}$

| $B_{i}(n)$ | $i=1$ | $i=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{i}(n) \otimes B_{i}(n)$ | $C_{2}^{2 n-3} \times C_{4} \times C_{0}^{(n-1)^{2}+1}$ for $n \geq 2$ | $C_{2} \times C_{0}^{(n-1)^{2}+1}$ for $n \geq 3$ |
| $\nabla\left(B_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \times C_{4} \times C_{2}^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 3$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ for $n \geq 4$ |
| $B_{i}(n) \wedge B_{i}(n)$ | $C_{0}^{1+\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-2}$ for $n \geq 3$ | $C_{0}^{1+\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}} \times C_{2}$ for $n \geq 4$ |
| $M\left(B_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-2}$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}} \times C_{2}$ |

The results for other homological invariants of $B_{i}(n)$ in which their nonabelian tensor squares are not abelian can be summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: The Homological Invariants of two families of Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $C_{2}$ with Nonabelian Nonabelian Tensor Square

| $B_{i}(n)$ | $i=3, n=3$ | $i=4, n=5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{i}(n) \otimes B_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |
| $J\left(B_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{2} \times C_{4}^{2} \times C_{2}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{9} \times C_{2}^{2}$ |
| $M\left(B_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}$ | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{2}^{2}$ |
| $B_{i}(n) \wedge B_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |

Theorem 2.2. [10] Let $G_{i}(n)$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ Bieberbach groups of dimension $n$ with cyclic point group of order three. Then, the following table are the results for the homological invariants of these groups.

Table 3: Homological Invariants of all Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $C_{3}$

| $G_{i}(n)$ | $G_{i}(n) \otimes G_{i}(n)$ | $\nabla\left(G_{i}(n)\right.$ | $J\left(G_{i}(n)\right.$ | $M\left(G_{i}(n)\right)$ | $G_{i}(n) \wedge G_{i}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=1, n=3$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0} \times C_{3}^{2}$ | $C_{0}^{2} \times C_{3}^{2}$ | $C_{0}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=2, n=4$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{3}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{5} \times C_{3}^{4}$ | $C_{0}^{2} \times C_{3}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=3, n=4$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{5}$ | $C_{0}^{2}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=4, n=5$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0} \times C_{3}^{5}$ | $C_{0}^{5} \times C_{3}^{5}$ | $C_{0}^{4}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=6, n=5$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{6}$ | $C_{0}^{10}$ | $C_{0}^{4}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=7, n=7$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{7}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{8} \times C_{3}^{9}$ | $C_{0}^{5} \times C_{3}^{2}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=8, n=6$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{3}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{8} \times C_{3}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{5}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=10, n=6$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{10}$ | $C_{0}^{17}$ | $C_{0}^{7}$ | Nonabelian |

Theorem 2.3. [10] Let $H_{i}(n)$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ Bieberbach groups of dimension $n$ with cyclic point group of order five. Then, the results for the homological invariants of these groups are given in Table 4.
Table 4: Homological Invariants of all Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $C_{5}$

| $H_{i}(n)$ | $H_{i}(n) \otimes H_{i}(n)$ | $\nabla\left(H_{i}(n)\right.$ | $J\left(H_{i}(n)\right)$ | $M\left(H_{i}(n)\right)$ | $H_{i}(n) \wedge H_{i}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=1, n=5$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0} \times C_{5}^{2}$ | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{5}^{2}$ | $C_{0}^{2}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=2, n=6$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{3}^{5}$ | $C_{0}^{6} \times C_{4}^{5}$ | $C_{0}^{3} \times C_{5}$ | Nonabelian |
| $i=3, n=6$ | Nonabelian | $C_{0}^{3}$ | $C_{0}^{6}$ | $C_{0}^{3}$ | Nonabelian |

Further research on Bieberbach groups had grabbed interests of some other researchers which include Mohd Idrus [11, 12], Mohammad et al. [13], Wan Mohd Fauzi [21] and Tan et al. [18]. They computed some homological invariants for Bieberbach groups with nonabelian point group, namely the dihedral point group of order eight. The homological invariants of these groups are presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. $[11,12,13,21,18]$ Let $D_{i}(n)$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ Bieberbach groups of dimension $n$ with dihedral point group of order eight. Then, Table 5 shows the results of some homological invariants of the groups.

Table 5: The Homological Invariants of Some Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $D_{4}$

| $D_{i}(n)$ | $i=1, n=4$ | $i=2, n=5$ | $i=3, n=5$ | $i=4, n=5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{i}(n) \otimes D_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |
| $\nabla\left(D_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}^{2} \times C_{2}^{2}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}^{2} \times C_{2}^{2}$ |
| $J\left(D_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4} \times C_{0}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}^{2} \times C_{2}^{2}$ | $C_{8}^{2} \times C_{4}^{2} \times C_{2}^{2} \times C_{0}$ |
| $M\left(D_{i}(n)\right)$ | $1_{\otimes}$ | $C_{0}$ | $C_{0}$ | $C_{0}$ |
| $D_{i}(n) \wedge D_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |

In 2014 onwards, Tan et al. [19, 17, 20] focused on Bieberbach group with symmetric point group of order six and the following theorem summarized the homological invariants that have been computed by them.

Theorem 2.5. $[19,17,20]$ Let $S_{i}(n)$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ Bieberbach groups of dimension $n$ with symmetric point group of order six. Then, Tables 6 and 7 show the results for the homological invariants of these groups.
Table 6: The Homological Invariants of Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $S_{3}$ for $n \geq 4$

| $S_{i}(n)$ | $i=1, n \geq 4$ | $i=2, n \geq 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{i}(n) \otimes S_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |
| $\nabla\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-3} \times C_{4}$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-3} \times C_{3}^{n-2} \times C_{4}$ |
| $J\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{(n-3)^{2}} \times C_{2}^{2(n-3)} \times C_{4}$ | $C_{0}^{(n-3)^{2}} \times C_{2}^{2(n-3)} \times C_{3}^{(2 n-5)} \times C_{4}$ |
| $M\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-3}$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{n-3} \times C_{3}^{n-3}$ |
| $S_{i}(n) \wedge S_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |

Table 7: The Homological Invariants of Bieberbach Groups with Point Group $S_{3}$ for $n \geq 5$

| $S_{i}(n)$ | $i=3, n \geq 5$ | $i=2, n \geq 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{i}(n) \otimes S_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |
| $\nabla\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}}$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}} \times C_{3}^{n-2}$ |
| $J\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{(n-3)^{2}} \times C_{2}^{2}$ | $C_{0}^{(n-3)^{2}} \times C_{2}^{2} \times C_{3}^{(2 n-5)}$ |
| $M\left(S_{i}(n)\right)$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{2}$ | $C_{0}^{\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}} \times C_{2}^{2} \times C_{3}^{n-3}$ |
| $S_{i}(n) \wedge S_{i}(n)$ | Nonabelian | Nonabelian |

## 3. Main Results

The isomorphism types of space groups were designed by Opgenorth et al. [14] and the tables were given in CARAT package [24] to enable the user to construct and recognize space groups. Based on [24, 14], a Bieberbach group of dimension six with point group $Q_{8}$ is isomorphic to one of these groups, namely $G_{1}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad a_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad l_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
& l_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad l_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
& l_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \text { and } l_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$G_{1}=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}\right\rangle$ has been shown to be isomorphic to $Q_{1}(6)=$ $\left\langle a, b, c, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}\right\rangle$ with $c=a_{0}^{2} l_{6}^{-1}$. Hence, the polycyclic presentation of $Q_{1}(6)$ is established as

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(6)= & \left\langle a, b, c, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}\right| a^{2}=c l_{6}, b^{2}=c, c^{2}=l_{5}^{-1} l_{6}^{-1}, b^{a}=b c^{-1} l_{5}^{-1}, \\
& c^{a}=c, c^{b}=c, l_{1}^{a}=l_{3}, l_{1}^{b}=l_{4}, l_{1}^{c}=l_{1}^{-1}, l_{2}^{a}=l_{4}, l_{2}^{b}=l_{3}^{-1}, l_{2}^{c}=l_{2}^{-1}, \\
& l_{3}^{a}=l_{1}^{-1}, l_{3}^{b}=l_{2}, l_{3}^{c}=l_{3}^{-1}, l_{4}^{a}=l_{2}^{-1}, l_{4}^{b}=l_{1}^{-1}, l_{4}^{c}=l_{4}^{-1}, l_{5}^{a}=l_{5}, l_{5}^{b}=l_{6}, \\
& l_{5}^{c}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{a}=l_{6}, l_{6}^{b}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{c}=l_{6}, l_{2}^{l_{1}}=l_{2}, l_{3}^{l_{1}}=l_{3}, l_{4}^{l_{1}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{1}}=l_{5}, \\
& l_{6}^{l_{1}}=l_{6}, l_{3}^{l_{2}}=l_{3}, l_{4}^{l_{2}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{2}}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{l_{2}}=l_{6}, l_{4}^{l_{3}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{3}}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{l_{3}}=l_{6},  \tag{2}\\
& l_{5}^{l_{4}}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{l_{4}}=l_{6}, l_{6}^{l_{5}}=l_{6}, l_{2}^{l_{1}^{-1}}=l_{2}, l_{3}^{l_{1}^{-1}}=l_{3}, l_{4}^{l_{1}^{-1}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{1}^{-1}}=l_{5}, \\
& l_{6}^{l_{1}^{-1}}=l_{6}, l_{3}^{l_{2}^{-1}}=l_{3}, l_{4}^{l_{2}^{-1}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{2}^{-1}}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{l_{2}^{-1}}=l_{6}, l_{4}^{l_{3}^{-1}}=l_{4}, l_{5}^{l_{3}^{-1}}=l_{5}, \\
& \left.l_{6}^{l_{3}^{-1}}=l_{6}, l_{5}^{l_{4}^{-1}}=l_{5}, l_{6}^{l_{4}^{-1}}=l_{6}, l_{6}^{l_{5}^{-1}}=l_{6}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, all relations as given in (2) have been shown to satisfy the five consistency relations as given in Definition 1.7, therefore $Q_{1}(6)$ has a consistent polycyclic presentation.

In Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, the computations of the derived subgroup and abelianization of $Q_{1}(6)$ are presented, respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Let $Q_{1}(6)$ be a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion
point group of order eight. Then, the derived subgroup of $Q_{1}(6)$ is $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=$ $\left\langle c l_{5}, l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}, l_{1} l_{4}, l_{5} l_{6}^{-1}\right\rangle$.

Proof. By the relations of $Q_{1}(6), Q_{1}(6)$ is generated by $a, b, c, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$, $l_{4}, l_{5}$, and $l_{6}$. There are 72 commutators of $Q_{1}(6)$, namely $[a, b],[a, c],[b, c],\left[a, l_{1}\right]$, $\left[a, l_{2}\right],\left[a, l_{3}\right],\left[a, l_{4}\right],\left[a, l_{5}\right],\left[a, l_{6}\right],\left[b, l_{1}\right],\left[b, l_{2}\right],\left[b, l_{3}\right],\left[b, l_{4}\right],\left[b, l_{5}\right],\left[b, l_{6}\right],\left[c, l_{1}\right],\left[c, l_{2}\right]$, $\left[c, l_{3}\right],\left[c, l_{4}\right],\left[c, l_{5}\right],\left[c, l_{6}\right],[b, a],[c, a],[c, b],\left[l_{1}, a\right],\left[l_{2}, a\right],\left[l_{3}, a\right],\left[l_{4}, a\right],\left[l_{5}, a\right],\left[l_{6}, a\right]$, $\left[l_{1}, b\right],\left[l_{2}, b\right],\left[l_{3}, b\right],\left[l_{4}, b\right],\left[l_{5}, b\right],\left[l_{6}, b\right],\left[l_{1}, c\right],\left[l_{2}, c\right],\left[l_{3}, c\right],\left[l_{4}, c\right],\left[l_{5}, c\right],\left[l_{6}, c\right],\left[l_{1}, l_{2}\right]$, $\left[l_{1}, l_{3}\right],\left[l_{1}, l_{4}\right],\left[l_{1}, l_{5}\right],\left[l_{1}, l_{6}\right],\left[l_{2}, l_{3}\right],\left[l_{2}, l_{4}\right],\left[l_{2}, l_{5}\right],\left[l_{2}, l_{6}\right],\left[l_{3}, l_{4}\right],\left[l_{3}, l_{5}\right],\left[l_{3}, l_{6}\right],\left[l_{4}, l_{5}\right]$, $\left[l_{4}, l_{6}\right],\left[l_{5}, l_{6}\right],\left[l_{2}, l_{1}\right],\left[l_{3}, l_{1}\right],\left[l_{4}, l_{1}\right],\left[l_{5}, l_{1}\right],\left[l_{6}, l_{1}\right],\left[l_{3}, l_{2}\right],\left[l_{4}, l_{2}\right],\left[l_{5}, l_{2}\right],\left[l_{6}, l_{2}\right],\left[l_{4}, l_{3}\right]$, $\left[l_{5}, l_{3}\right],\left[l_{6}, l_{3}\right],\left[l_{5}, l_{4}\right],\left[l_{6}, l_{4}\right]$, and $\left[l_{6}, l_{5}\right]$. By collecting and excluding repeated terms, the derived subgroup of $Q_{1}(6)$ is found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}= & \left\langle c l_{5}, l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}, l_{2} l_{4}^{-1}, l_{1} l_{3}, l_{2} l_{4}, l_{1} l_{4}^{-1}, l_{2} l_{3}, l_{2}^{-1} l_{3}, l_{1} l_{4}, l_{5} l_{6}^{-1}, l_{5}^{-1} l_{6}, l_{1}^{2}, l_{2}^{2}, l_{3}^{2}, l_{4}^{2},\right. \\
& c^{-1} l_{5}^{-1}, l_{3} l_{1}^{-1}, l_{4} l_{2}^{-1}, l_{3}^{-1} l_{1}^{-1}, l_{4}^{-1} l_{2}^{-1}, l_{4}^{-1} l_{1}, l_{3}^{-1} l_{2}^{-1}, l_{3}^{-1} l_{2}, l_{4}^{-1} l_{1}^{-1}, l_{6} l_{5}^{-1}, l_{6} l_{5}^{-1}, \\
& \left.l_{6}^{-1} l_{5}, l_{1}^{-2}, l_{2}^{-2}, l_{3}^{-2}, l_{4}^{-2}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1}^{-2} & =\left(l_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} ; l_{2}^{-2}=\left(l_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1} ; l_{3}^{-2}=\left(l_{3}^{2}\right)^{-1} ; l_{4}^{-2}=\left(l_{4}^{2}\right)^{-1}, \\
c^{-1} l_{5}^{-1} & =\left(l_{5} c\right)^{-1}=\left(c l_{5}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { since } c \text { and } l_{5} \text { commutes, } \\
l_{3} l_{1}^{-1} & =\left(l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}\right)^{-1} ; l_{4} l_{2}^{-1}=\left(l_{2} l_{4}^{-1}\right)^{-1} ; l_{4}^{-1} l_{1}=l_{1} l_{4}^{-1} ; l_{3}^{-1} l_{2}=\left(l_{2}^{-1} l_{3}\right)^{-1} ; \\
l_{3}^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} & =\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right)^{-1} ; l_{4}^{-1} l_{2}^{-1}=\left(l_{2} l_{4}\right)^{-1} ; l_{3}^{-1} l_{2}^{-1}=\left(l_{2} l_{3}\right)^{-1} ; \\
l_{4}^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} & =\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)^{-1} ; l_{6} l_{5}^{-1}=\left(l_{5} l_{6}^{-1}\right)^{-1} ; l_{6}^{-1} l_{5}=\left(l_{5}^{-1} l_{6}\right)^{-1} ; \\
l_{1}^{2} & =\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}\right) ; l_{2}^{2}=\left(l_{2} l_{4}\right)\left(l_{2} l_{4}^{-1}\right) ; l_{3}^{2}=\left(l_{2} l_{3}\right)\left(l_{2}^{-1} l_{3}\right) ; l_{4}^{2}=\left(l_{1} l_{4}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right) ; \\
l_{5}^{-1} l_{6} & =\left(l_{5} l_{6}^{-1}\right)^{-1} ; l_{1} l_{4}^{-1}=\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right) ; l_{2} l_{4}=\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{2} l_{3}\right) ; \\
l_{2} l_{3} & =\left(l_{2} l_{4}^{-1}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}\right)^{-1} ; l_{2}^{-1} l_{3}=\left(l_{2} l_{4}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right) ; \\
l_{2} l_{4}^{-1} & =\left(l_{2}^{-1} l_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(l_{1} l_{3}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{4}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=\left\langle c l_{5}, l_{1} l_{3}^{-1}, l_{1} l_{3}, l_{1} l_{4}, l_{5} l_{6}^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $Q_{1}(6)$ be a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight. Then, the abelianization of $Q_{1}(6)$ is found to be

$$
\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}=\left\langle a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right\rangle \cong C_{0} \times C_{2}^{2} .
$$

Proof. The abelianization of $Q_{1}(6),\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ is the factor group $Q_{1}(6) /\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$. Then, using Lemma 3.1,

$$
\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}=Q_{1}(6) /\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left\langle a, b, c, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}\right\rangle /\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \\
= & \left\langle a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, c\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{3}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.l_{4}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{5}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

However, some of these generators can be written in terms of the other generators. By the relations of $Q_{1}(6), b^{2}=c$. This implies that

$$
\left(b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}=c\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=l_{3}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, & \text { since } l_{1} l_{3}^{-1} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \\
l_{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=l_{4}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, & \text { since } l_{2} l_{4}^{-1} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \\
l_{5}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, & \\
\text { since } l_{5} l_{6}^{-1} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, by using $a^{2}=c l_{6}$ and $b^{2}=c$, then $a^{2}=b^{2} l_{6}$ implies that $l_{6}=b^{-2} a^{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=\left(b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{-2}\left(a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} & =l_{4}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \text { since } l_{1} l_{4}^{-1} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =l_{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { since } l_{4}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=l_{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{2}=l_{1}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the independent generators of $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ are $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$, and $l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$. Next, the order of $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$, and $l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ are determined. $l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ is of order two since $l_{1}^{2} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$. Besides, $b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ is of order two since $b^{2}=c$ and $c \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$. However, $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \cap c\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \cap l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ is not trivial since $a^{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ by the relations of $Q_{1}(6)$ that implies $\left.a^{2}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}=b^{2} l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left(b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2} l_{6}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose the order of $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ is finite. Then, for an arbitrary integer $r, a^{r}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ is trivial, which implies that $a^{r} \in\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$. However, this is a contradiction since by the relations of $Q_{1}(6), a^{r}$ cannot be written as any element in $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ due to the fact that $a$ is independent of $b, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}$ and $l_{5}$. Hence, since all nontrivial elements in $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ have infinite order, thus $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ has infinite order. Therefore,

$$
\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}=\left\langle a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}\right\rangle \cong C_{0} \times C_{2}^{2}
$$

This lemma shows that $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ is finitely generated.
Next, the computation of a homological invariant which is the central subgroup of the nonabelian tensor square for $Q_{1}(6)$, denoted as $\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$, is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $Q_{1}(6)$ be a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight. Then, the central subgroup of $Q_{1}(6)$, denoted as $\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right) & =\left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right\rangle \\
& \cong C_{0} \times C_{2}^{4} \times C_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the abelianization of $Q_{1}(6)$ is generated by $a\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ which is of infinite order and $b\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}, l_{1}\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{\prime}$ which are of order two. Then, based on Theorem 1.12(1), $\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is generated by $\left[a, a^{\varphi}\right],\left[b, b^{\varphi}\right],\left[l_{1}, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]$, $\left[a, b^{\varphi}\right]\left[b, a^{\varphi}\right],\left[a, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{1}, a^{\varphi}\right]$ and $\left[b, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{1}, b^{\varphi}\right]$. Since $\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is a subgroup of $Q_{1}(6) \otimes Q_{1}(6)$ and the mapping $\sigma: Q_{1}(6) \otimes Q_{1}(6) \rightarrow\left[Q_{1}(6), Q_{1}(6)^{\varphi}\right]$ defined by $\sigma(g \otimes h)=\left[g, h^{\varphi}\right]$ for all $g, h \in Q_{1}(6)$ is an isomorphism by Theorem 1.8. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)= & \left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, the order of each generator for $\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is computed. The abelianization of $Q_{1}(6)$ is denoted by $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ with has mapping $\eta: Q_{1}(6) \rightarrow\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$. Since $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ is finitely generated, then its nonabelian tensor square is just the ordinary tensor product of $Q_{1}(6)^{a b}$. By using Theorem 1.14,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b} \otimes\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b} \\
\cong & \left(C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \otimes\left(C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(C_{0} \otimes\left(C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right)\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes\left(C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right)\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes\left(C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(C_{0} \otimes C_{0}\right) \times\left(C_{0} \otimes C_{2}\right) \times\left(C_{0} \otimes C_{2}\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{0}\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{2}\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{2}\right) \\
& \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{0}\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{2}\right) \times\left(C_{2} \otimes C_{2}\right) \\
\cong & C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \\
= & C_{0} \times C_{2}^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Lemma 3.2 provides that $\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)^{a b}$ is generated by $\eta(a)$ of order infinite and $\eta(b), \eta\left(l_{1}\right)$ are of order two. Again, by Theorem 1.14,

$$
\langle\eta(a) \otimes \eta(a)\rangle \cong C_{0} .
$$

By Theorem 1.15, there is a natural epimorphism $\alpha: Q_{1}(6) \otimes Q_{1}(6) \rightarrow Q_{1}(6)^{a b} \otimes$ $Q_{1}(6)^{a b}$. Therefore, the image $\alpha(a \otimes a)=\eta(a) \otimes \eta(a)$ has infinite order. Thus by Theorem 1.13, $a \otimes a$ has also infinite order. By the relations of $Q_{1}(6),\left[b, b^{\varphi}\right]^{4}=$ $\left[b^{2}, b^{2 \varphi}\right]=1$. This means that the order of $\left[b, b^{\varphi}\right]$ divides four. Hence the order of $b \otimes b$ is four. By Theorem 1.14,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\eta\left(l_{1}\right) \otimes \eta\left(l_{1}\right)\right\rangle & \cong C_{2}, \\
\langle\eta(a) \otimes \eta(b)\rangle & \cong C_{2}, \\
\langle\eta(b) \otimes \eta(a)\rangle & \cong C_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\eta(a) \otimes \eta\left(l_{1}\right)\right\rangle \cong C_{2}, \\
& \left\langle\eta\left(l_{1}\right) \otimes \eta(a)\right\rangle \cong C_{2}, \\
& \left\langle\eta(b) \otimes \eta\left(l_{1}\right)\right\rangle \cong C_{2}, \\
& \left\langle\eta\left(l_{1}\right) \otimes \eta(b)\right\rangle \cong C_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the order of $l_{1} \otimes l_{1},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right)$ and $\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)$ are two. Finally, the desired result is obtained, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla\left(Q_{1}(6)\right) & =\left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right\rangle \\
& \cong C_{0} \times C_{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \\
& =C_{0} \times C_{2}^{4} \times C_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, a homological invariant namely the $\nabla(G)$ for a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight has been computed manually.

The computation of $J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is presented in the following theorem.
Theorem 3.4. Let $Q_{1}(6)$ be a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight. Then, $J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right) \\
= & \left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1}, a \otimes c,(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right)\right\rangle . \\
\cong & C_{0}^{4} \times C_{2}^{5} \times C_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Definition 1.2, $J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)$ has a mapping $\kappa: Q_{1}(6) \otimes Q_{1}(6) \rightarrow Q_{1}(6)^{\prime}$ defined by $\kappa(g \otimes h)=[g, h]=1$, for all $g, h \in Q_{1}(6)$. The nonabelian tensor square of $Q_{1}(6)$ is generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}(6) \otimes Q_{1}(6) \\
= & \left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1}, a \otimes b, a \otimes c, a \otimes l_{1}, a \otimes l_{2}, b \otimes l_{1}, b \otimes l_{2}, b \otimes l_{5},\right. \\
& b \otimes l_{6}, c \otimes l_{1}, c \otimes l_{2},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right), \\
& \left.\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right),\left(c \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes c\right),\left(c \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes c\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the relations of $Q_{1}(6)$ and the derived subgroup, the image of each generator can be computed as in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(a \otimes a) & =[a, a]=a^{-1} a^{-1} a a=1 \\
\kappa(b \otimes b) & =[b, b]=b^{-1} b^{-1} b b=1 \\
\kappa\left(l_{1} \otimes l_{1}\right) & =\left[l_{1}, l_{1}\right]=l_{1}^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} l_{1} l_{1}=1 \\
\kappa(a \otimes b) & =[a, b]=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b=l_{5} c=c l_{5} \neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(a \otimes c) & =[a, c]=a^{-1} c^{-1} a c=1, \\
\kappa\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right) & =\left[a, l_{1}\right]=a^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} a l_{1}=l_{3}^{-1} l_{1} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right) & =\left[a, l_{2}\right]=a^{-1} l_{2}^{-1} a l_{2}=l_{4}^{-1} l_{2} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right) & =\left[b, l_{1}\right]=b^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} b l_{1}=l_{4}^{-1} l_{1} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right) & =\left[b, l_{2}\right]=b^{-1} l_{2}^{-1} b l_{2}=l_{2} l_{3} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right) & =\left[b, l_{5}\right]=b^{-1} l_{5}^{-1} b l_{5}=l_{6}^{-1} l_{5} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{6}\right) & =\left[b, l_{6}\right]=b^{-1} l_{6}^{-1} b l_{6}=l_{5}^{-1} l_{6} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(c \otimes l_{1}\right) & =\left[c, l_{1}\right]=c^{-1} l_{1}^{-1} c l_{1}=l_{1}^{2} \neq 1, \\
\kappa\left(c \otimes l_{2}\right) & =\left[c, l_{2}\right]=c^{-1} l_{2}^{-1} c l_{2}=l_{2}^{2} \neq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since $\kappa$ is a homomorphism,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa((a \otimes b)(b \otimes a)) & =\kappa(a \otimes b) \kappa(b \otimes a) \\
& =[a, b][b, a] \\
& =[a, b][a, b]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right) \kappa\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right) \\
& =\left[a, l_{1}\right]\left[l_{1}, a\right] \\
& =\left[a, l_{1}\right]\left[a, l_{1}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right) \kappa\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right) \\
& =\left[a, l_{2}\right]\left[l_{2}, a\right] \\
& =\left[a, l_{2}\right]\left[a, l_{2}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right) \kappa\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right) \\
& =\left[b, l_{1}\right]\left[l_{1}, b\right] \\
& =\left[b, l_{1}\right]\left[b, l_{1}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right) \kappa\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right) \\
& =\left[b, l_{2}\right]\left[l_{2}, b\right] \\
& =\left[b, l_{2}\right]\left[b, l_{2}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right) \kappa\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right) \\
& =\left[b, l_{5}\right]\left[l_{5}, b\right] \\
& =\left[b, l_{5}\right]\left[b, l_{5}\right]^{-1} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(c \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes c\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(c \otimes l_{1}\right) \kappa\left(l_{1} \otimes c\right) \\
& =\left[c, l_{1}\right]\left[l_{1}, c\right] \\
& =\left[c, l_{1}\right]\left[c, l_{1}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1, \\
\kappa\left(\left(c \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes c\right)\right) & =\kappa\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right) \kappa\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right) \\
& =\left[c, l_{2}\right]\left[l_{2}, c\right] \\
& =\left[c, l_{2}\right]\left[c, l_{2}\right]^{-1} \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $\left(c \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes c\right)=\left(\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right)^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[c, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{1}, c^{\varphi}\right] } & =\left[b^{2}, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{1}, b^{2 \varphi}\right] \\
& =\left(\left[b, l_{1}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{1}, b^{\varphi}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(c \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes c\right)=\left(\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right)\right)^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[c, l_{2}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{2}, c^{\varphi}\right] } & =\left[b^{2}, l_{2}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{2}, b^{2 \varphi}\right] \\
& =\left(\left[b, l_{2}^{\varphi}\right]\left[l_{2}, b^{\varphi}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by collecting all images that are equal to 1 according to the definition of the kernel,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)= & \left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1}, a \otimes c,(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, based on the order of the generators found in Theorem 3.3 it is found that $a \otimes a$ has infinite order. Besides, $a \otimes c,\left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right)$ and $\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right)$ are also of infinite order since $\eta(a)$ is of infinite order and $c, l_{2}$ and $l_{5}$ are not independent. Meanwhile, the order of $b \otimes b$ is four whereas $l_{1} \otimes l_{1},(a \otimes b)(b \otimes$ $a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right)$ are two.

By Theorem 1.14,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\eta(b) \otimes \eta\left(l_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong C_{2}, \\
& \left\langle\eta\left(l_{2}\right) \otimes \eta(b)\right\rangle \cong C_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the order of and $\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right)$ is also two. Finally, the desired result is obtained, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(Q_{1}(6)\right)= & \left\langle a \otimes a, b \otimes b, l_{1} \otimes l_{1}, a \otimes c,(a \otimes b)(b \otimes a),\left(a \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes a\right)\right. \\
& \left(a \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes a\right),\left(b \otimes l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{2}\right)\left(l_{2} \otimes b\right),\left(b \otimes l_{5}\right)\left(l_{5} \otimes b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cong C_{0} \times C_{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{0} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{0} \\
& =C_{0}^{4} \times C_{2}^{5} \times C_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The homological invariants such as $\nabla(G)$ and $J(G)$ of a Bieberbach group of dimension six with quaternion point group of order eight have been computed. On top of that, the abelianization of the group is found to be finitely generated. As a result, $\nabla(G), J(G)$ and $M(G)$ are found to be abelian since they are in the center of the nonabelian tensor square of the group.
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