Soil Classification

1

Outline

- 1. Purpose
- 2. Classification Systems
- 3. The Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)
- 4. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials System (AASHTO)
- 5. Suggested Homework

1. Purpose

Classifying soils into groups with similar behavior, in terms of *simple* indices, can provide geotechnical engineers a general guidance about engineering properties of the soils through the *accumulated experience*.

Communicate between engineers Classification Estimate Achieve Simple indices system engineering \rightarrow engineering GSD, LL, PI (Language) properties purposes Use the accumulated experience

2. Classification Systems

Two commonly used systems:

- Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) System

3. Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

Origin of USCS:

This system was first developed by Professor A. Casagrande (1948) for the purpose of airfield construction during World War II. Afterwards, it was modified by Professor Casagrande, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to enable the system to be applicable to dams, foundations, and other construction (Holtz and Kovacs, 1981).

Four major divisions:

- (1) Coarse-grained
- (2) Fine-grained
- (3) Organic soils
- (4) Peat



3.2 General Guidance





Information needed

*some or all of this information need to be known:



- Cu
- Cc
- PI & LL

3.3 Symbols

Soil symbols:

- G: Gravel
- S: Sand
- M: Silt
- C: Clay
- O: Organic
- Pt: Peat

Example: SW, Well-graded sand SC, Clayey sand SM, Silty sand, MH, Elastic silt

Liquid limit symbols: H: High LL (LL>50) L: Low LL (LL<50) **Gradation symbols:** W: Well-graded P: Poorly-graded Well – graded soil $1 < C_c < 3$ and $C_u \ge 4$ (for gravels) $1 < C_c < 3$ and $C_u \ge 6$ (for sands)

3.4 Plasticity Chart



• The A-line generally separates the more claylike materials from silty materials, and the organics from the inorganics.

• The U-line indicates the upper bound for general soils.

Note: If the measured limits of soils are on the left of U-line, they should be

Fig. 3.2 Casagrande's plasticity chart, showing several representative soil types (developed from Casa- rechecked. grande, 1948, and Howard, 1977).

(Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)

3.5 Procedures for Classification

	COARSE	Gravel:	Less than 5% fines	$C_{\rm u} > 4, 1 \le C_{\rm c} \le 3$	\rightarrow	GW
Coarse-grained	More than	coarse fraction		Not satisfying GW	\rightarrow	GP
material	50% retained sieve #200	sieve #4	More than	Below 'A' line	\rightarrow	GM
\mathbf{C} · ·			1 1 2 70 TINES	Above 'A' line	\rightarrow	GC
Grain size		Sand:	Less than 5% fines	$C_{\rm u} > 6, 1 \le C_{\rm c} \le 3$	\rightarrow	SW
distribution		coarse fraction		Not satisfying SW	\rightarrow	SP
		sieve #4	More than	Below 'A' line	\rightarrow	SM
 			11270 miles	Above 'A' line	\rightarrow	SC
	FINE	LL < 50	60			ML
Fine-grained	Less than 50%		50	A line		CL
material	retained sieve #200		40			OL
II PI		LL > 50	At 30	CH		MH
				OH or MH		CH
				ML	0	OH
			0 10 20 30	40 50 60 70 80 90 10 liquid limit	0	
	Highly ORGANIC SO	DIL S			_	Dt
	onomic bo	1110				rt

2 (Γ	Passing No.200 sieve 30 %			LL= 33		
3.6 Example	Passin	g No.4 siev	PI= 12			
	COARSE	Gravel:	Less than 5% fines	$C_{\rm u} > 4, 1 \le C_{\rm c} \le 3$	\rightarrow	GW
	More than	coarse fraction		Not satisfying GW	\rightarrow	GP
	50% retained sieve #200	sieve #4	More than 12% fines	Below 'A' line	\rightarrow	GM
Passing No 200 sieve 30 %				Above 'A' line	\rightarrow	GC
1 assing 110.200 sieve 50 70		Sand: less than 50%	Less than 5% fines	$C_{\rm u} > 6, 1 \le C_{\rm c} \le 3$	\rightarrow	SW
Passing No.4 sieve 70 %		coarse fraction		Not satisfying SW	\rightarrow	SP
		sieve #4	More than	Below 'A' line	\rightarrow	SM
LL= 33		·	1270 mes	Above 'A' line	\rightarrow	SC
PI=12	FINE	<i>LL</i> < 50	60		3	ML
PI-073(LL-20) A-line	Less than 50%		50	A line		CL
	#200		40	СН		OL
PI=0.73(33-20)=9.49		LL > 50	30 30	OH		MH
C				L or		CH
SC				OL or MH ML		ОН
(≥15% gravel)			0 10 20 30	40 50 60 70 80 90 1 liquid limit	00	
Clayey sand with gravel	Highly ORGANIC SC	DILS			\rightarrow	Pt

(Santamarina et al., 2001)

3.7 Organic Soils

- Highly organic soils- Peat (Group symbol PT)
 - A sample composed primarily of vegetable tissue in various stages of decomposition and has a fibrous to amorphous texture, a dark-brown to black color, and an organic odor should be designated as a highly organic soil and shall be classified as peat, PT.
- Organic clay or silt(group symbol OL or OH):
 - "The soil's liquid limit (LL) after oven drying is less than 75 % of its liquid limit before oven drying." If the above statement is true, then the first symbol is O.
 - The second symbol is obtained by locating the values of PI and LL (not oven dried) in the plasticity chart.

3.8 Borderline Cases (Dual Symbols)

For the following three conditions, a dual symbol should be used.

- Soil contain similar fines and coarse-grained fractions.
 - possible dual symbols GM-ML
- Coarse-grained soils with 5% 12% fines.
 - About 7 % fines can change the hydraulic conductivity of the coarsegrained media by orders of magnitude.
 - The first symbol indicates whether the coarse fraction is well or poorly graded. The second symbol describe the contained fines. For example: SP-SM, poorly graded sand with silt.
- Fine-grained soils with limits within the shaded zone. (PI between 4 and 7 and LL between about 12 and 25).
 - It is hard to distinguish between the silty and more claylike materials.
 - CL-ML: Silty clay, SC-SM: Silty, clayed sand.

Borderline Cases (Dual Symbols)



Fig. 3.2 Casagrande's plasticity chart, showing several representative soil types (developed from Casagrande, 1948, and Howard, 1977).

(Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)

3.8 Borderline Cases (Summary)



Note: Only two group symbols may be used to describe a soil. Borderline classifications can exist within each of the above groups.

Example USCS (Borderline Cases)





% passing no.4, retained no.200 (F_1) = 67% > 50% \therefore sandy soil

% fines lies between 5 to 12%, thus refer dual symbols

- Based on the grading characteristic, curve of size distribution is considered as well graded (SW),
- Based on plasticity information, atterberg limits plot above A-line and PI greater than 7 ∴ meets criteria for SC, therefore type of soil is.....



4. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials system (AASHTO)

Origin of AASHTO: (For road construction)

This system was originally developed by Hogentogler and Terzaghi in 1929 as the Public Roads Classification System. Afterwards, there are several revisions. The present AASHTO (1978) system is primarily based on the version in 1945. (Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)

4.1 General Guidance

- 7 major groups: A1~ A7 (with several subgroups) and organic soils A8
- The required tests are sieve analysis and Atterberg limits.
- The group index, an empirical formula, is used to further evaluate soils within a group (subgroups).

A1 ~ A3	A4 ~ A7				
Granular Materials ≤ 35% pass No. 200 sieve	Silt-clay Materials ≥ 36% pass No. 200 sieve				
Using LL and PI separates silty materials from clayey materials (only for A2 group)	Using LL and PI separates silty materials from clayey materials				

• The original purpose of this classification system is used for road construction (subgrade rating).

4.2 Group Index

The first term is determined by the LL

$$\int GI = (F_{200} - 35)[0.2 + 0.005(LL - 40)]$$

$$+ 0.01(F_{200} - 15)(PI - 10)$$
The second term is determined by the PI

For Group A-2-6 and A-2-7 $GI = 0.01(F_{200} - 15)(PI - 10)$ use the second term only F200: percentage passing through the No.200 sieve

In general, the rating for a pavement subgrade is inversely proportional to the group index, GI.

Determination of GI

Some rules for determining GI value:

- If GI is negative, taken as 0
- GI value is rounded off to the nearest number
- GI for A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5 and A-3 always 0
- Use the partial GI for PI, when calculate the GI belong to group A-2-6 and A-2-7

4.3Classification

General classification

Granular materials (35% or less of total sample passing No. 200)

Coarse Grained

	A-1			A-2			
Group classification	A-1-a	A-1-b	A-3	A-2-4	A-2-5	A-2-6	A-2-7
Sieve analysis (percentage passing)							
No. 10 No. 40 No. 200	50 max. 30 max. 15 max.	50 max. 25 max.	51 min. 10 max.	35 max.	35 max.	35 max.	35 max.
Characteristics of fraction passing No. 40							
Liquid limit Plasticity index	6 n	nax.	NP	40 max. 10 max.	41 min. 10 max.	40 max. 11 min.	41 min. 11 min.
Usual types of sig- nificant constituent materials	Stone fragments, gravel, and sand		Fine sand	Silty or clayey gravel and sand			sand
General subgrade rating			Excellent to good				Das. 1998

4.4 Classification (Cont.)



General classification	Silt-clay materials (more than 35% of total sample passing No. 200)					
Group classification	A-4	A-5	A-6	A-7 A-7-5ª A-7-6 ^b		
Sieve analysis (percentage passing) No. 10						
No. 40 No. 200	36 min.	36 min.	36 min.	36 min.		
Characteristics of fraction passing No. 40						
Liquid limit	40 max.	41 min.	40 max.	41 min.		
Plasticity index	10 max.	10 max.	11 min.	11 min.		
Usual types of significant constituent materials	Silty soils		Claye	Clayey soils		
General subgrade rating	Fair to poor					
* For A-7-5 $PI < II - 30$	ii					

^b For A-7-6, PI > LL - 30

Note:

The first group from the left to fit the test data is the correct AASHTO classification.

Das, 1998

		Passing	No.200 86	%	
4.4 Example		LL=70,	PI=32		
		LL-30=4	40 > PI = 32		
Passing No.200 86%	$GI = (F_{200} - $	35)[0.2+	-0.005(LL	(-40)	
LL=70, PI=32	+0.01(I	$F_{200} - 15)($	(PI-10)		·····.
LL-30=40 > PI=32	= 33.47	$\cong 33$ Ro	ound off	A-7	5(33)
General classification		(more tha	Silt-clay n 35% of total	materials sample passi	ing No. 200)
Group classification		A-4	A-5	A-6	A-7 A-7-5 ^a A-7-6 ^b
Sieve analysis (percentage passin No. 10	g)	in march			-
No. 40 No. 200		36 min.	36 min.	36 min.	36 min.
Characteristics of fraction passing Liquid limit Plasticity index	g No. 40	40 max. 10 max.	41 min. 10 max.	40 max. 11 min.	41 min. 11 min.
Usual types of significant constitu	ent materials	Silty	v soils	Claye	ey soils
General subgrade rating	$124 \sim (.2)$	科学的国	Fair t	o poor	
* For A-7-5, $PI \le LL - 30$		R. MARCH	21-2011/1-2012	167 197 197 197 197	

5. Suggested Homework

- 1. Please read Chapter 3 (Holtz's Book).
- 2. Read ASTM D2487 and D 2488.
- 3. Please go over Example 3.1 and 3.2.

6. References

Main References:

Das, B.M. (1998). *Principles of Geotechnical Engineering*, 4th edition, PWS Publishing Company. (Chapter 3)

Holtz, R.D. and Kovacs, W.D. (1981). *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, Prentice Hall. (Chapter 3)

Others:

Santamarina, J.C., Klein, K.A., and Fam, M.A. (2001). *Soils and Waves*, John Wiley & Sons, LTD.